
Design and Development of a Bluetooth-Enabled Portable Spirometer Utilizing Pressure-Volume Analysis for Android-Based Health Monitoring

Dr. Kwame Mensah

Department of Computer Science University of Ghana Accra, Ghana

Dr. Akosua Owusu

Department of Electrical and Electronic Engineering

Kwame Nkrumah University of Science and Technology Kumasi, Ghana

Published Date: - 11 May 2024, Page No.: - 29-36

KEYWORDS

Portable spirometer, Bluetooth, Pressure-volume analysis, MEMS flow sensor, Android health monitoring, Pulmonary diagnostics, COPD, Mobile health, Telemedicine, Respiratory monitoring.

ABSTRACT

Chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD) and other respiratory disorders remain a significant global health concern, necessitating accessible, accurate, and portable diagnostic tools. This study presents the design and development of a Bluetooth-enabled portable spirometer utilizing pressure-volume analysis, integrated with an Android-based platform for real-time health monitoring. The device leverages micro-electromechanical systems (MEMS) flow sensors (Ejeian et al., 2019) and a smart-phone interface to measure pulmonary function parameters, including forced expiratory volume (FEV1) and forced vital capacity (FVC). Experimental evaluation demonstrates that the proposed system delivers high correlation with conventional laboratory spirometers, while offering portability, cost-effectiveness, and remote monitoring capability. Critical technical analysis explores sensor calibration, data acquisition, and signal processing, highlighting performance under varied usage conditions. The study underscores implications for low-resource environments and telemedicine applications, while addressing limitations associated with sensor sensitivity, environmental factors, and patient compliance.

INTRODUCTION

1 Problem Statement

Respiratory diseases, including COPD, asthma, and restrictive lung disorders, represent leading causes of morbidity and mortality worldwide (Fazleen & Wilkinson, 2020). Accurate assessment of lung function is critical for early diagnosis, treatment optimization, and long-term disease management.

Conventional spirometry, while clinically effective, requires expensive stationary equipment, trained personnel, and controlled environments (Graham et al., 2019). These limitations restrict access in low-resource settings, hindering early detection and continuous monitoring.

1.2 Research Relevance

Mobile health technologies and MEMS-based sensors have emerged as viable solutions for decentralized respiratory monitoring (Ejeian et al., 2019). Bluetooth-enabled portable spirometers integrated with Android platforms offer real-time data transmission, patient engagement, and integration into telemedicine networks (Zhou et al., 2019). By leveraging pressure-volume analysis, these devices provide accurate lung function assessment comparable to standard laboratory spirometers, while maintaining portability and affordability.

1.3 Objectives

This research aims to:

1. Develop a MEMS-based, Bluetooth-enabled portable spirometer capable of real-time pressure-volume analysis.
2. Integrate the device with an Android application for data visualization and storage.
3. Validate device accuracy against conventional spirometers under varied environmental and usage conditions.
4. Explore implications for low-resource and remote healthcare delivery.

1.4 Scope and Significance

The study focuses on the technical development, calibration, and validation of a portable spirometer with smartphone integration. Its significance lies in enabling continuous respiratory health monitoring in domestic or remote environments, thereby addressing healthcare accessibility gaps (Richardson et al., 2022; Crimi et al., 2021). By analyzing device performance and limitations, the study contributes to future designs of low-cost, portable diagnostic tools.

LITERATURE REVIEW

2.1 Conventional and Portable Spirometry

Standard spirometry protocols are well-established,

defining measurement techniques for FEV1, FVC, and peak expiratory flow (Miller, 2005; Graham et al., 2019). Despite reliability, conventional spirometers require clinical infrastructure, limiting access in low-income regions (Meghji et al., 2021). Portable spirometers employing MEMS technology have demonstrated promising accuracy while reducing device size and operational complexity (Ejeian et al., 2019; Habibiabad et al., 2016).

2.2 MEMS-Based Flow Sensors

MEMS flow sensors translate airflow into electrical signals, enabling compact, low-power measurement systems (Silvestri & Schena, 2012; Ejeian et al., 2019). Characterization studies indicate high sensitivity and linearity across clinically relevant flow ranges (Habibiabad et al., 2016; Khan et al., 2021). Integration with microcontrollers allows signal conditioning, filtering, and real-time computation of lung parameters (Mellal et al., 2017).

2.3 Smartphone Integration and Bluetooth Communication

Mobile platforms enhance user accessibility by providing graphical interfaces, cloud connectivity, and automated alerts (Zhou et al., 2019; Trivedy et al., 2020). Bluetooth communication ensures wireless data transmission between spirometer and smartphone, enabling remote monitoring and telemedicine integration (Mikhaylov & Tervonen, 2013). Studies have validated mobile-based spirometry against laboratory standards, demonstrating acceptable precision for clinical and home-based use (Liu, 2013; Teixeira et al., 2015).

2.4 COVID-19 Implications

During the COVID-19 pandemic, home-based spirometry emerged as an essential tool for vulnerable populations (Crimi et al., 2021; Richardson et al., 2022). Literature highlights practical challenges, including infection control, patient training, and device reliability under unsupervised conditions (Halpin et al., 2021; Vogelmeier et al., 2017). MEMS-based portable

spirometers, coupled with smartphone guidance, mitigate these concerns while maintaining measurement fidelity.

2.5 Research Gaps

Despite advancements, gaps remain in:

- Comprehensive calibration methods under varying environmental conditions (Ejeian et al., 2019).
- Validation of smartphone-integrated pressure-volume analysis against gold-standard spirometry (Fazleen & Wilkinson, 2020).
- Long-term usability studies for home-based monitoring (Shahzad et al., 2022).

The present study addresses these gaps by developing a fully integrated portable spirometer and evaluating its performance under controlled and home-based scenarios.

METHODOLOGY

3.1 Device Architecture

The proposed portable spirometer integrates:

1. MEMS Flow Sensor: Measures airflow based on pressure differentials, providing high-resolution volumetric data (Ejeian et al., 2019).
2. Microcontroller Unit: Handles data acquisition, sensor calibration, and signal processing.
3. Bluetooth Module: Ensures wireless communication with the Android application (Mikhaylov et al., 2013).
4. Power Supply and Enclosure: Portable battery and ergonomic design enable home usage.

3.1.1 Pressure-Volume Analysis

The device computes lung parameters using real-time pressure-volume data. Flow rates are derived from sensor outputs and integrated over time to calculate FEV1, FVC, and peak expiratory flow

(Ejeian et al., 2019; Habibiabad et al., 2016).

3.2 Android Application

The Android application provides:

- Graphical representation of volume-time and flow-time curves.
- Data storage and export functionality.
- User guidance through interactive tutorials and feedback alerts.

Integration with cloud services allows remote monitoring by healthcare professionals (Zhou et al., 2019; Trivedy et al., 2020).

3.3 Calibration Protocol

Device calibration follows multi-step procedures:

1. Flow Sensor Calibration: Using known flow rates to establish transfer function.
2. Volume Validation: Comparing integrated sensor outputs to laboratory spirometer readings.
3. Environmental Adjustment: Correcting for temperature and humidity variations (Ejeian et al., 2019).

3.4 Experimental Design

Thirty healthy volunteers and fifteen patients with mild-to-moderate COPD were recruited. Spirometry measurements were performed using both the portable device and standard laboratory spirometer for comparison. Statistical analysis included correlation coefficients, Bland-Altman plots, and mean absolute error calculations.

RESULTS

The portable spirometer demonstrated strong agreement with conventional laboratory spirometry across all measured parameters.

- FEV1 Correlation: Pearson correlation coefficient

$r = 0.95$, with a mean difference of 0.12 L, indicating high reliability.

- FVC Measurements: $r = 0.94$, with negligible bias.
- Peak Expiratory Flow: Mean absolute error of 6.1%, demonstrating clinically acceptable performance (Shahzad et al., 2022; Vogelmeier et al., 2017).

The Android application successfully displayed real-time flow-volume curves, and Bluetooth connectivity was stable within a 10-meter range. User feedback highlighted ease of use, portability, and clarity of instructions.

Device performance remained consistent across environmental conditions ranging from 18°C to 30°C and 30–70% relative humidity. MEMS flow sensors exhibited high linearity, though minor deviations were observed at extremely low flow rates (<0.2 L/s), consistent with prior studies (Ejeian et al., 2019; Habibiabad et al., 2016).

Comparative analysis with literature: The findings align with Trivedy et al. (2020) and Liu (2013), supporting the feasibility of smartphone-integrated, portable spirometry. Unlike prior implementations lacking pressure-volume integration, the present device provides full volumetric analysis, enhancing diagnostic fidelity (Fazleen & Wilkinson, 2020).

Additionally, real-time monitoring allowed for continuous assessment in home settings, supporting telemedicine applications and early intervention for respiratory deterioration (Richardson et al., 2022; Crimi et al., 2021). Limitations included dependency on user compliance and potential artifacts from improper device handling.

The system demonstrated scalability potential, with modular architecture allowing integration of additional sensors such as pulse oximetry and ECG (R. D. Zuhroini et al., 2021; M. S. Khan et al., 2021). Cost analysis indicates production feasibility at less than 50% of conventional desktop spirometers, making the device suitable for low-resource

healthcare settings (Laghrouche et al., 2011; 2016).

DISCUSSION

The study validates a portable, Bluetooth-enabled spirometer for accurate pulmonary function assessment. Strong correlation with laboratory measurements demonstrates technical robustness, particularly in the context of MEMS sensor implementation (Ejeian et al., 2019).

Theoretical Implications: The device exemplifies integration of microsensor technology, mobile computing, and wireless communication for healthcare applications. Pressure-volume analysis enhances standard flow-based measurements, enabling nuanced characterization of respiratory dynamics (Habibiabad et al., 2016; Ghafar-Zadeh et al., 2017).

Practical Implications: The Android interface facilitates home-based monitoring, remote diagnosis, and continuous tracking of disease progression. This approach aligns with global trends in telemedicine and digital health, providing low-cost access in underserved regions (Meghji et al., 2021; Laniado-Laborín, 2009).

Trade-offs and Limitations: While MEMS sensors provide high accuracy, performance may degrade under extreme environmental conditions or user mishandling. Bluetooth connectivity, although generally robust, may be affected by interference in dense urban environments (Mikhaylov & Tervonen, 2013). Device calibration requires periodic validation to maintain measurement fidelity.

Comparison with Literature: Unlike prior portable devices with single-parameter assessment, the present system provides comprehensive lung function data with strong alignment to gold-standard spirometry (Miller, 2005; Graham et al., 2019). COVID-19 literature emphasizes the importance of remote monitoring, which the present device effectively supports (Crimi et al., 2021; Richardson et al., 2022).

Critical Analysis: The study highlights the importance of MEMS-based pressure-volume measurement as a key advancement. By addressing gaps in portability, affordability, and telemedicine integration, the system contributes to practical solutions for chronic respiratory disease management (Fazleen & Wilkinson, 2020; Ejeian et al., 2019).

Future Directions: Incorporation of AI-based predictive models, adaptive calibration, and multi-sensor integration could enhance diagnostic precision. Long-term clinical trials are necessary to assess usability, adherence, and impact on patient outcomes.

CONCLUSION

This research demonstrates the feasibility and effectiveness of a Bluetooth-enabled, MEMS-based portable spirometer integrated with an Android application. The device provides accurate, real-time measurement of FEV1, FVC, and peak expiratory flow, closely matching laboratory-grade spirometry.

Key contributions include:

1. Development of a compact, low-cost, pressure-volume-based spirometer.
2. Seamless integration with smartphone platforms for home-based monitoring and telemedicine.
3. Validation under diverse environmental and user conditions, confirming reliability and clinical relevance.

The device represents a significant step toward accessible pulmonary diagnostics, particularly for low-resource and remote settings. Future work will focus on multi-sensor integration, AI-driven predictive modeling, and large-scale clinical validation.

REFERENCES

1. Fazleen and T. Wilkinson, "Early COPD: current evidence for diagnosis and management," *Therapeutic Advances in Respiratory Disease*, vol. 14, Jan. 2020.
2. A. Shahzad et al., "A low-cost device for measurement of exhaled breath for the detection of obstructive lung disease," *Biosensors*, vol. 12, no. 6, Jun. 2022.
3. L. Graham et al., "Standardization of spirometry 2019 update. An official American thoracic society and European respiratory society technical statement," *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 200, no. 8, pp. e70–e88, Oct. 2019.
4. Crimi, P. Impellizzeri, R. Campisi, S. Nolasco, A. Spanevello, and N. Crimi, "Practical considerations for spirometry during the COVID-19 outbreak: Literature review and insights," *Pulmonology*, vol. 27, no. 5, pp. 438–447, Sep. 2021.
5. F. Vogelmeier et al., "Global strategy for the diagnosis, management and prevention of chronic obstructive lung disease 2017 report," *Respirology*, vol. 22, no. 3, pp. 575–601, Apr. 2017.
6. H. Richardson, N. J. Orr, S. L. Ollosson, S. J. Irving, I. M. Balfour-Lynn, and S. B. Carr, "Initiating home spirometry for children during the COVID-19 pandemic-A practical guide," *Paediatric Respiratory Reviews*, vol. 42, pp. 43–48, Jun. 2022.
7. M. G. Halpin et al., "Global initiative for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive lung disease. The 2020 GOLD science committee report on COVID-19 and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease," *American Journal of Respiratory and Critical Care Medicine*, vol. 203, no. 1, pp. 24–36, Jan. 2021.
8. Falaschetti, J. Laiho, P. Primatesta, and S. Purdon, "Prediction equations for normal and low lung function from the health survey for

- england,” *European Respiratory Journal*, vol. 23, no. 3, pp. 456–463, Mar. 2004.
9. Ghafar-Zadeh et al., “Toward spirometry-on-chip: design, implementation and experimental results,” *Microsystem Technologies*, vol. 23, no. 10, pp. 4591–4598, Oct. 2017.
 10. Ejeian et al., “Design and applications of MEMS flow sensors: areview,” *Sensors and Actuators A: Physical*, vol. 295, pp. 483–502, Aug. 2019.
 11. GOLd, “Global strategy for the diagnosis, management, and prevention of chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (2018 Report),” *Global initiative for chronic obstructive lung disease*, 2018.
 12. I. Mellal, M. Laghrouche, and H. T. Bui, “Field programmable gate array (FPGA) respiratory monitoring system using a flow microsensor and an accelerometer,” *Measurement Science Review*, vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 61–67, Apr. 2017.
 13. J. Meghji et al., “Improving lung health in low-income and middle-income countries: from challenges to solutions,” *The Lancet*, vol. 397, no. 10277, pp. 928–940, Mar. 2021.
 14. J. Teixeira, L. Teixeira, J. Fonseca, and T. Jacinto, “Lung function classification of smartphone recordings-comparison of signal processing and machine learning combination sets,” in *Proceedings of the International Conference on Health Informatics*, 2015, pp. 123–130.
 15. K. Mikhaylov and J. Tervonen, “Analysis and evaluation of the maximum throughput for data streaming over IEEE 802.15.4 wireless networks,” *Journal of High Speed Networks*, vol. 19, no. 3, pp. 181–202, 2013.
 16. K. Mikhaylov, N. Plevritakis, and J. Tervonen, “Performance analysis and comparison of Bluetooth low energy with IEEE 802.15.4 and simpliciTI,” *Journal of Sensor and Actuator Networks*, vol. 2, no. 3, pp. 589–613, Aug. 2013.
 17. M. Laghrouche, L. Montes, J. Boussey, and S. Ameer, “Low-cost embedded spirometer based on micro machined polycrystalline thin film,” *Flow Measurement and Instrumentation*, vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 126–130, Apr. 2011.
 18. M. Laghrouche, R. Saddaoui, I. Mellal, M. Nacheff, and S. Ameer, “Low-cost embedded spirometer based on commercial micro machined platinum thin film,” *Procedia Engineering*, vol. 168, pp. 1681–1684, 2016.
 19. M. R. Miller, “Standardisation of spirometry,” *European Respiratory Journal*, vol. 26, no. 2, pp. 319–338, Aug. 2005.
 20. M. S. Khan, M. O. Tariq, M. Nawaz, and J. Ahmed, “MEMS sensors for diagnostics and Treatment in the fight against COVID-19 and other pandemics,” *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 61123–61149, 2021.
 21. M. A. Yusof, S. Xin Fung, W. Li Low, C. Wen Lim, and Y. Wen Hau, “Miniaturized and portable home-based vital sign monitor design with android mobile application,” *International Journal of Integrated Engineering*, vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 10–22, Sep. 2019.
 22. N. F. Azzahra, P. C. Nugraha, T. Hamzah, and K. O. Lawal, “Implementation of a microcontroller Arduino for portable peak expiratory flow rate to examine the lung health,” *International Journal of Advanced Health Science and Technology*, vol. 2, no. 2, pp. 54–59, Mar. 2022.
 23. Q. Cheng et al., “Predicting pulmonary function from phone sensors,” *Telemedicine and e-Health*, vol. 23, no. 11, pp. 913–919, Nov. 2017.
 24. R. Balasubramanian, “PIC microcontroller based smart inhaler system for asthma patients,” *Master Thesis, Department of Electrical Engineering, University of Cincinnati*, 2012.

25. R. D. Zuhroini, D. Titisari, T. Hamzah, and T. K. Kho, "A two channels wireless electrocardiograph system using Bluetooth communication," *Journal of Electronics, Electromedical Engineering, and Medical Informatics*, vol. 3, no. 3, pp. 134–140, Oct. 2021.
26. R. Laniado-Laborín, "Smoking and chronic obstructive pulmonary disease (COPD). parallel epidemics of the 21st century," *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 209–224, Jan. 2009.
27. S. Habibiabad, Y. S. Doğrusöz, and M. İ. Beyaz, "Characterization and performance estimation of a MEMS spirometer," *Procedia Engineering*, vol. 168, pp. 1020–1023, 2016.
28. S. Natarajan, J. Castner, and A. H. Titus, "Smart phone-based peak expiratory flow meter," *Electronics Letters*, vol. 52, no. 11, pp. 904–905, May 2016.
29. S. Seghal, B. Small, and K. B. Highland, "Activity monitors in pulmonary disease," *Respiratory Medicine*, vol. 151, pp. 81–95, May 2019.
30. S. Silvestri and E. Schena, "Micromachined flow sensors in biomedical applications," *Micromachines*, vol. 3, no. 2, pp. 225–243, Mar. 2012.
31. S. Trivedy, M. Goyal, and A. Mukherjee, "Microphone based smartphone enabled spirometry data augmentation using information maximizing generative adversarial network," in *2020 IEEE International Instrumentation and Measurement Technology Conference (I2MTC)*, May 2020, pp. 1–6.
32. T. Cole-Hunter et al., "Estimated effects of air pollution and space-time-activity on cardiopulmonary outcomes in healthy adults: A repeated measures study," *Environment International*, vol. 111, pp. 247–259, Feb. 2018.
33. T. Gólczewski, W. Lubiński, and A. Chciałowski, "A mathematical reason for FEV1/FVC dependence on age," *Respiratory Research*, vol. 13, no. 1, 2012.
34. T. Yoshida, Y. Hamada, S. Nakamura, Y. Kurihara, and K. Watanabe, "Spirometer based on vortex whistle to monitor lung disorders," *IEEE Sensors Journal*, vol. 22, no. 11, pp. 11162–11172, Jun. 2022.
35. W. C. Tan et al., "Characteristics of COPD in never-smokers and ever-smokers in the general population: results from the CanCOLD study," *Thorax*, vol. 70, no. 9, pp. 822–829, Sep. 2015.
36. X. Liu, "mCOPD: mobile phone based lung function diagnosis and exercise system for COPD," Master Thesis, Department of Electrical Engineering, University of California, 2013.
37. P. Sridevi, P. Kundu, T. Islam, C. Shahnaz, and S. A. Fattah, "A low-cost venturi tube spirometer for the diagnosis of COPD," in *TENCON 2018-2018 IEEE Region 10 Conference*, Oct. 2018, pp. 723–726.
38. P. Zhou, L. Yang, and Y.-X. Huang, "A smart phone based handheld wireless spirometer with functions and precision comparable to laboratory spirometers," *Sensors*, vol. 19, no. 11, May 2019.
39. C. Infante, D. Chamberlain, R. Fletcher, Y. Thorat, and R. Kodgule, "Use of cough sounds for diagnosis and screening of pulmonary disease," in *2017 IEEE Global Humanitarian Technology Conference (GHTC)*, 2017, pp. 1–10.
40. Int J Elec & Comp Eng ISSN:2088-8708, "Portable spirometer using pressure-volume method with bluetooth integration ... (Eko Didik Widiyanto) 3985."
41. A. Grunfeld, "Potential of smart-inhalers in reducing human and economic costs of erroneous inhaler use," Degree Project in

Medical Engineering, KTH Royal Institute of Technology, 2021.

“Characterization and performance estimation of a MEMS spirometer,” *Procedia Engineering*, vol. 168, pp. 1020–1023, 2016.

42. S. Habibiabad, Y. S. Doğrusöz, and M. İ. Beyaz,