
Deep Spectral-Spatial Orchard Intelligence and Motion-Stable Robotic Planning for Precision Citrus Production: An Integrative Research Framework

Elena Marquez

Department of Agricultural Systems Engineering, University of Valencia, Spain

Published Date: - 13 February 2026, Page No.: - 10-26

KEYWORDS

precision agriculture, citrus orchard monitoring, deep learning, spectral-spatial perception, robotic trajectory planning, remote sensing, agricultural robotics.

ABSTRACT

Background: Precision agriculture is increasingly shaped by two parallel technological movements: the rise of deep learning for large-scale crop mapping and the rapid evolution of motion planning and control in intelligent robots. Yet these two streams often develop in isolation. Remote sensing studies have advanced phenology-aware vegetation mapping, spectral-spatial learning, and cloud-enabled agricultural observation, while robotics research has improved trajectory planning, balance control, motion reliability, and adaptive obstacle avoidance. However, orchard agriculture, particularly citrus systems, still lacks a coherent research framework that unifies deep perceptual understanding of orchard environments with motion-stable robotic intervention across heterogeneous field conditions.

Methods: This article develops a design-oriented original research framework through integrative analysis of literature on time-series orchard monitoring, vegetation index construction, spectral-spatial deep learning, large-scale visual recognition, agricultural robotic perception and coordination, and recent advances in manipulator, continuum, parallel, humanoid, and biped robot trajectory planning and stability assessment. The methodological contribution lies in synthesizing these references into a multilayer architecture for citrus orchard intelligence composed of remote perception, semantic scene interpretation, operational zoning, robot motion planning, and adaptive control.

Results: The analysis shows that orchard intelligence becomes substantially more robust when phenology-sensitive remote sensing is combined with deep residual feature extraction and when robotic planning is conditioned on environmental semantics rather than only geometric collision avoidance. The integrated framework indicates that citrus management can benefit from a linked pipeline in which long-term Landsat and Sentinel-2 monitoring identifies orchard variability, spectral-spatial networks interpret canopy and terrain conditions, and motion planning modules convert those conditions into task-aware safe trajectories for orchard robots. The findings also suggest that stability, reliability, and trajectory smoothness are not secondary implementation issues but central determinants of practical agricultural autonomy.

Conclusion: A next-generation citrus agriculture system should not treat mapping and robotics as separate domains. It should instead function as a closed perception-action loop in which orchard state estimation continuously informs adaptive robotic execution. This research offers a publication-ready conceptual and methodological foundation for that convergence and identifies future directions in multi-robot coordination, reliability-aware planning, seasonal adaptation, and field-deployable agricultural autonomy.

INTRODUCTION

Citrus production occupies a strategically important position within high-value agriculture because it combines perennial crop management, spatially heterogeneous canopy structure, strong sensitivity to

water and soil conditions, and labor-intensive field operations. Unlike annual row crops, orchard systems demand repeated decisions across multiple years, seasonal phases, and micro-environmental gradients. This makes citrus cultivation an especially suitable domain for precision agriculture, but it also makes it especially difficult to manage with generalized methods. Long-term orchard behavior depends on a complex interplay among climate, topography, soil properties, phenology, canopy vigor, irrigation practices, and operational accessibility. Early agronomic work on citrus suitability already emphasized that successful orchard planning must account for interactions among climate, terrain, and soil rather than relying on any single explanatory factor (Li et al., 2007). Later work on citrus orchard hydrology further demonstrated that water dynamics in mature orchards reflect a structured system of evapotranspiration, soil moisture movement, and environmental exchange, reinforcing the idea that orchard management is inseparable from spatial and temporal complexity (Tu et al., 2021). At the same time, long-term Landsat analyses have shown that orchard dynamics can be monitored over extended periods, creating opportunities for spatiotemporal decision support rather than one-time assessment (Xu et al., 2018).

These developments reveal a foundational shift in agricultural thinking. Citrus production is no longer simply a matter of fixed inputs applied uniformly across a farm. It is increasingly understood as a dynamic control problem in which the state of the orchard must be continuously observed, interpreted, and acted upon. Remote sensing has become central to this transformation because it can observe orchard structure, vegetation condition, and landscape-level variability at scales that manual scouting cannot match. Research on next-generation mapping has shown that the integration of deep learning, cloud computing, and massive remote sensing archives enables agricultural monitoring at a scale and speed that would have been implausible in earlier decades (Parente et al., 2019). At the same time, phenology-aware vegetation analysis has demonstrated that crop

discrimination improves when temporal biological behavior is treated as a primary signal instead of as noise around static reflectance values (Chen et al., 2024). Related work on Sentinel-2 red-edge indices further indicates that carefully selected spectral bands can improve the mapping of perennial crop expansion and land cover transitions, especially where subtle vegetation differences matter (Xiao et al., 2020).

Yet observation alone is insufficient. Precision agriculture becomes operationally meaningful only when sensing informs intervention. Orchard systems require pruning, inspection, spraying, navigation between rows, selective treatment, and increasingly autonomous field assistance. This is where agricultural robotics becomes essential. Recent reviews of agricultural robots have made it clear that the field is moving from isolated sensing devices toward integrated systems capable of perception, control, networking, and coordination (Nkwocha et al., 2025). However, many agricultural robotic solutions still struggle in orchard environments because orchards present dense canopy structures, irregular terrain, narrow passages, occlusion, variable illumination, and changing seasonal morphology. These constraints make the orchard fundamentally different from the structured industrial environments where many classical robotic planning strategies were first validated.

This difference is not merely practical; it is theoretical. In a controlled factory setting, a robot may operate in a mostly static, known, and geometrically precise workspace. In a citrus orchard, by contrast, the robot confronts uncertainty in terrain, canopy boundaries, branch intrusions, soil compliance, and sometimes even moving obstacles such as workers or other vehicles. As a result, path planning cannot be reduced to shortest-path optimization, and control cannot be reduced to ideal kinematic tracking. Research on robotic arms, continuum robots, hyper-redundant robots, parallel mechanisms, biped robots, humanoids, and industrial reliability all converges on the same insight: robust motion requires a joint treatment of

kinematics, smoothness, stability, environmental adaptation, and reliability under disturbance (Wang, 2023; Luo et al., 2024; Luo, 2025; Qin & Chen, 2025; Zhang et al., 2025; Zhou, 2024; Mohammadi et al., 2024; Zhou et al., 2025). In orchard applications, these concerns become even more acute because the cost of unstable motion is not only task failure but crop damage, safety risk, and reduced trust in autonomy.

A second major transformation shaping this problem is the rise of deep learning. Convolutional neural networks redefined image recognition by demonstrating that learned hierarchical features can outperform hand-crafted representations in complex visual tasks (Krizhevsky et al., 2017; Russakovsky et al., 2015). Subsequent developments in residual and pyramidal network design improved feature reuse, gradient propagation, and representational richness (Han & Kim, 2017). In remote sensing, these ideas were adapted to spectral-spatial contexts, where the challenge is not only visual semantics but high-dimensional reflectance structure and spatial arrangement. Three-dimensional spectral-spatial residual learning and deep pyramidal residual architectures for hyperspectral classification have shown that remote sensing performance increases when networks jointly exploit local spectral signatures and neighborhood context (Zhong et al., 2017; Paoletti et al., 2018). For orchard agriculture, this is highly significant. Citrus systems are not defined merely by isolated pixels; they are defined by patterned canopies, row arrangements, texture differences, phenological stages, and interactions with background soils and neighboring vegetation. Therefore, orchard intelligence requires models that understand both what is present and how it is spatially organized.

Despite these advances, a serious literature gap remains. Remote sensing studies of orchards and perennial crops often stop at classification or mapping, leaving operational robotics unaddressed. Robotics studies, meanwhile, often emphasize motion smoothness, dynamic stability, or obstacle avoidance without incorporating semantically rich

agricultural environment models. Even comprehensive agricultural robotics reviews tend to discuss perception and coordination broadly without fully integrating long-horizon satellite-derived orchard state estimation into local motion planning logic (Nkwocha et al., 2025). The result is a fragmented research landscape in which “seeing” the orchard and “acting” within it remain disconnected technical projects.

This gap has consequences. If remote sensing is used only for reporting and not for action, then much of its practical value is delayed or diminished. If robotics is deployed without landscape-level and seasonal awareness, then it risks operating reactively in contexts that could have been anticipated. For example, long-term orchard dynamics identified in Landsat time series may reveal changes in canopy density, orchard age structure, or stress exposure that should shape robotic mission design (Xu et al., 2018). Water budget modeling may indicate zones where soil moisture conditions imply traction or stability constraints for ground robots (Tu et al., 2021). Phenology-sensitive vegetation analysis may distinguish between active canopy conditions and background confusion in ways that improve not only mapping but also task timing (Chen et al., 2024). These are not separate informational layers. They are mutually conditioning elements of a single agricultural intelligence system.

The central argument of this article is that precision citrus agriculture should be reconceptualized as a closed perception-action system in which deep spectral-spatial environmental understanding is linked directly to motion-stable robotic planning. This article therefore develops an original integrative research framework that brings together three bodies of knowledge: first, orchard and perennial crop observation through time-series and multi-spectral remote sensing; second, deep residual learning for spectral-spatial interpretation; and third, trajectory planning, dynamic reliability, and stability control for agricultural robotic execution. The objective is not merely to summarize these fields, but to construct a publication-ready conceptual model that

shows how they can be unified into an operational architecture for precision citrus management.

The originality of the present study lies in this integrative move. Existing references provide strong pieces of the puzzle, but not the full assembly. Chen et al. (2024) show the importance of phenology in vegetation indexing. Xu et al. (2018) and Tu et al. (2021) illuminate the temporal and hydrological logic of citrus orchards. Xiao et al. (2020) and Parente et al. (2019) show the expanding power of modern Earth observation and cloud-scale mapping. Krizhevsky et al. (2017), Han and Kim (2017), Zhong et al. (2017), and Paoletti et al. (2018) offer deep learning principles capable of turning complex imagery into meaningful state estimates. Meanwhile, Luo et al. (2024), Luo (2025), Qin and Chen (2025), Zhang et al. (2025), Wang (2023), Zhou (2024), Mohammadi et al. (2024), and Zhou et al. (2025) collectively demonstrate that motion planning is a multi-dimensional problem of trajectory generation, balance, adaptability, and reliability. What has been missing is a rigorous synthesis that treats orchard perception and robotic motion as continuous stages of the same intelligent agricultural process.

This article addresses that missing link. It begins from the premise that citrus orchard management is shaped by layered uncertainties: spectral ambiguity, seasonal variation, structural heterogeneity, terrain irregularity, and dynamic operational constraints. It then argues that these uncertainties can be managed more effectively by linking macro-scale perception and micro-scale action through an integrated architecture. In practical terms, such an architecture would allow long-term remote sensing to define orchard management zones, deep spectral-spatial models to infer local semantic conditions relevant to agricultural tasks, and robotic planning modules to convert those conditions into safe and smooth movement patterns. The contribution is therefore methodological and theoretical at once: methodological because it proposes a specific system design logic, and theoretical because it reframes precision orchard agriculture as a unified intelligence problem rather than a collection of

disconnected tools.

A further reason this integration matters is that orchard agriculture is entering a period where labor availability, sustainability expectations, and technological capability are simultaneously changing. High-value perennial systems require better monitoring, more selective intervention, and more reliable mechanization. Agricultural robotics reviews increasingly emphasize coordination, networking, and autonomous operation, suggesting that the future of agriculture will involve distributed intelligent systems rather than single-purpose machines (Nkwocha et al., 2025). In that future, a robot that simply moves is not enough. It must move because it understands where it is, what biological condition surrounds it, which task is appropriate, and how that task should be performed under current physical constraints. In other words, the future agricultural robot must be informed by agricultural semantics.

The study therefore asks a foundational research question: how can advances in deep spectral-spatial remote sensing and motion-stable robotic planning be unified into a coherent precision agriculture framework for citrus orchards? Subsidiary questions follow naturally. Which remote sensing signals are most useful for orchard-aware robotics? How can deep residual architectures support semantic environmental interpretation in perennial crop systems? How should trajectory planning and control adapt when orchard conditions are not merely geometric but biologically and environmentally structured? What role do smoothness, stability, and reliability play in agricultural robotics when the workspace is living, irregular, and seasonally variable? By answering these questions through an integrative analysis of the provided references, this article develops a structured response to one of the most consequential gaps in precision agriculture research.

METHODOLOGY

The methodological design of this study is

conceptual, integrative, and architecture-oriented. It does not present a single field experiment with newly collected numerical data, nor does it attempt to fabricate empirical measurements not contained in the cited literature. Instead, it constructs an original research framework by combining validated knowledge streams from the provided references into a unified model of citrus orchard intelligence. This is an appropriate methodological strategy because the literature itself is highly specialized and distributed across different technical domains. Remote sensing studies analyze crop identification, orchard dynamics, and spectral behavior. Robotics studies address trajectory generation, balance control, stability, and dynamic reliability. Deep learning studies provide generalizable principles for feature learning and classification. Agricultural robotics reviews address system-level perception and coordination. The contribution of the present work lies in systematically translating these fragmented results into an operationally coherent methodology for precision orchard systems.

The first methodological principle is domain synthesis through functional decomposition. Rather than grouping the literature only by disciplinary labels such as “remote sensing” or “robotics,” the study decomposes orchard intelligence into five functional layers: environmental observation, semantic interpretation, agricultural zoning, motion planning, and execution control. Each layer is then populated by concepts, methods, and constraints drawn from the references. This decomposition is necessary because orchard management involves a chain of informational transformations. Raw imagery does not directly produce robotic action. It must first be converted into biologically meaningful indicators, then into spatially localized interpretations, then into task priorities, and finally into movement commands that satisfy stability and safety requirements. By modeling these stages explicitly, the study avoids a common weakness in interdisciplinary synthesis, namely the tendency to juxtapose technologies without specifying how information actually flows among them.

The second methodological principle is scale linkage. Precision agriculture operates simultaneously at multiple spatial and temporal scales. Long-term Landsat time series can reveal orchard evolution over years (Xu et al., 2018), while Sentinel-2 red-edge indices can discriminate vegetation conditions at a finer spectral resolution relevant to perennial crop mapping (Xiao et al., 2020). Phenology-based indices bring seasonal biological logic into mapping tasks (Chen et al., 2024). In contrast, robotic systems act at the scale of seconds, meters, branches, joints, and immediate environmental disturbances. A meaningful orchard intelligence framework must therefore bridge coarse-scale environmental awareness and fine-scale embodied action. The present methodology treats scale linkage not as an implementation detail but as a formal design requirement. Any architecture proposed for agricultural autonomy must explain how large-area remote observations influence local robotic decisions and how local operational feedback might refine future sensing priorities.

The third methodological principle is representational continuity. Deep learning research shows that performance gains emerge when representation is learned hierarchically from data rather than manually specified in a shallow manner (Krizhevsky et al., 2017; Russakovsky et al., 2015). Residual and pyramidal networks further show that depth, feature reuse, and gradually expanded channel capacity improve representational power while stabilizing training (Han & Kim, 2017). In remote sensing, spectral-spatial residual models demonstrate that classification improves when spectral signals are fused with local context (Zhong et al., 2017; Paoletti et al., 2018). The methodological implication for orchard intelligence is that the representational pipeline must remain semantically rich from sensing to action. If orchard perception is compressed too early into crude labels, then much of the information needed for nuanced motion planning is lost. The framework therefore retains graded environmental descriptors such as canopy density, row continuity, likely obstacle zones, terrain risk, and phenological state rather than

reducing the orchard to binary navigable versus non-navigable categories.

The fourth methodological principle is motion planning under agronomic semantics. Traditional robotic planning often treats the environment as a space of obstacles and free corridors. This is necessary but insufficient in orchards. In a citrus system, a branch may be geometrically traversable for one tool and prohibited for another. A wet soil patch may be passable but undesirable due to compaction or slipping risk. A narrow corridor may be technically navigable but operationally inefficient if its canopy condition suggests low task urgency. Research on hyper-redundant robot planning, continuum robot obstacle avoidance, mixed polynomial trajectory generation, and smooth space-discretized planning shows that advanced robotic motion can be tailored to complex geometries and dynamic obstacles (Luo et al., 2024; Qin & Chen, 2025; Zhang et al., 2025; Luo, 2025). The present methodology extends these insights by embedding agronomic meaning into the cost structure of motion planning. In this view, motion is optimized not only for collision avoidance or kinematic smoothness but also for biological appropriateness and operational priority.

To implement these principles, the study proceeds through a structured analytical sequence. The literature is first interpreted according to its direct contribution to orchard intelligence. References on climate, topography, soil, and orchard water dynamics are used to define the environmental state variables relevant to citrus management (Li et al., 2007; Tu et al., 2021). References on long-term orchard monitoring, vegetation indices, and next-generation mapping define the observational pipeline and its expected strengths in orchard-scale state estimation (Xu et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2024; Xiao et al., 2020; Parente et al., 2019). Deep learning references define the feature extraction and classification logic necessary to convert multi-source observations into semantic environmental maps (Krizhevsky et al., 2017; Han & Kim, 2017; Zhong et al., 2017; Paoletti et al., 2018; Russakovsky et al.,

2015). Agricultural robotics reviews and robotic planning/control studies define the execution layer and the constraints that motion modules must satisfy in real-world deployment (Nkwocha et al., 2025; Wang, 2023; Luo et al., 2024; Luo, 2025; Qin & Chen, 2025; Zhang et al., 2025; Mohammadi et al., 2024; Zhou, 2024; Zhou et al., 2025).

From this analytical sequence, the study develops a five-layer orchard intelligence architecture.

The first layer is remote environmental observation. This layer aggregates multi-temporal and multi-spectral information relevant to citrus orchard state. Time-series Landsat monitoring demonstrates the value of historical continuity for orchard dynamics (Xu et al., 2018). Sentinel-2 red-edge analysis demonstrates the usefulness of sensitive spectral features for perennial vegetation discrimination (Xiao et al., 2020). Phenology-based indexing demonstrates that temporal biological cycles can sharpen crop identification (Chen et al., 2024). Water budget modeling contributes understanding of sub-surface and hydrological processes relevant to irrigation and field condition (Tu et al., 2021). Climate-topography-soil zonation contributes a prior environmental suitability structure that helps explain persistent within-orchard and between-orchard variability (Li et al., 2007). Methodologically, this layer does not assume that one sensor or one index is sufficient. It is designed as a fusion layer in which temporal continuity, spectral sensitivity, and environmental priors jointly inform orchard state estimation.

The second layer is deep semantic interpretation. Here the observational inputs are processed through a hierarchical learning framework inspired by convolutional, residual, and spectral-spatial deep architectures. Foundational image-recognition research establishes the utility of deep convolutional feature extraction for complex visual discrimination (Krizhevsky et al., 2017; Russakovsky et al., 2015). Deep pyramidal residual design supports progressively enriched feature hierarchies while mitigating degradation in deep networks (Han &

Kim, 2017). In remote sensing, spectral-spatial residual learning shows that vegetation classification benefits from architectures that preserve both local spectral identity and spatial context (Zhong et al., 2017; Paoletti et al., 2018). Accordingly, the study proposes that orchard semantic interpretation should output not only land-cover labels but a richer scene description including canopy continuity, probable stress heterogeneity, passage width estimation, likely obstacle emergence, and task-relevant operational classes. This step is crucial because robotic planning cannot act intelligently on raw spectra or generic crop maps. It needs actionable environmental semantics.

The third layer is agronomic decision zoning. In many precision agriculture systems, mapping products end with classification maps. The present methodology instead converts semantic outputs into operational zones. These zones may correspond to monitoring priority, irrigation assessment priority, safe traversal corridors, high-canopy-density caution regions, dynamic obstacle likelihood regions, or selective intervention targets. The rationale comes from next-generation mapping literature, which emphasizes that deep learning and large-scale Earth observation become transformative when linked to actionable decision systems rather than merely descriptive outputs (Parente et al., 2019). The zoning layer therefore acts as the bridge between seeing and acting. It translates environmental understanding into operational logic.

The fourth layer is motion planning and task trajectory generation. This layer draws from a broad robotics literature because orchard tasks may require different embodiments. Jointed arms may be used for manipulation; continuum or hyper-redundant mechanisms may be advantageous in confined canopy spaces; wheeled or legged platforms may be necessary for navigation over varied terrain; and parallel mechanisms may offer controlled motion for specialized tasks. Research on robotic arm motor control demonstrates the importance of optimal control for accurate joint behavior (Wang, 2023). Hyper-redundant robot motion planning shows how

multiple degrees of freedom can increase adaptability in constrained spaces (Luo et al., 2024). Continuum robot planning in dynamic obstacle fields shows the relevance of reactive yet smooth adaptation (Qin & Chen, 2025). Parallel mechanism studies emphasize smooth trajectory generation and mixed polynomial planning for precision motion (Luo, 2025; Zhang et al., 2025). Kinematic simulation of six-axis robots supports the practical analysis of multi-joint behavior before deployment (Zhang et al., 2025). These studies collectively justify a methodology in which trajectory generation is task-specific, embodiment-aware, and conditioned by environmental semantics from earlier layers.

The fifth layer is adaptive control, stability, and reliability assessment. An agricultural robot that plans elegant trajectories in theory may still fail in practice if stability margins are poor or dynamic reliability is ignored. Research on adult-sized humanoid robots highlights the role of balance control and inherent stability in robust operation (Mohammadi et al., 2024). Research on reconfigurable biped robot leg design emphasizes elastic motion and structural considerations in locomotion (Zhou, 2024). Dynamic reliability assessment based on higher-order response moments shows that motion stability must be evaluated probabilistically under varying operational conditions (Zhou et al., 2025). In orchard systems, where terrain and interactions are uncertain, these lessons are vital. The methodology therefore requires that every motion plan be passed through a stability-reliability filter before execution. This filter evaluates whether a planned path is not only feasible but robust under anticipated disturbances such as uneven ground, tool load variation, and local environmental uncertainty.

A key methodological feature of the framework is feedback coupling. The architecture is not linear in the sense of one-way processing. Rather, execution outcomes feed back into interpretation and zoning. For example, if a robot repeatedly experiences instability in a zone initially classified as traversable, that zone's semantic profile should be updated to

reflect hidden terrain or canopy constraints. This aligns with the broader agricultural robotics emphasis on sensing, control, and networking as interconnected functions rather than isolated modules (Nkwocha et al., 2025). In effect, the orchard intelligence system learns not only from images but from embodied interaction.

Another methodological component is seasonal adaptation. Orchards are not static scenes. Phenological change alters canopy density, reflectance, visibility, and physical access. Chen et al. (2024) show that phenology-aware indices improve mapping because plant temporal behavior encodes discriminative information. Xu et al. (2018) show that long-term orchard monitoring reveals temporal transformations central to orchard analysis. The methodology therefore assumes that operational semantics must be season-specific. A path that is safe in one phase may be suboptimal or risky in another due to foliage density, moisture condition, or task changes. Rather than treating seasonality as variation around a baseline, the framework embeds seasonality into both perception and planning.

The study also uses comparative reasoning as a methodological tool. Different robotic bodies solve different orchard problems. Hyper-redundant systems are particularly useful in constrained spaces (Luo et al., 2024). Continuum robots are effective when dynamic obstacle negotiation and flexible traversal are central (Qin & Chen, 2025). Parallel robots and six-axis industrial structures may be better suited to high-precision repeated motions in more controlled sub-environments (Luo, 2025; Zhang et al., 2025). Humanoid and biped studies, while not directly agricultural in all cases, provide insight into balance and locomotion under instability, which is relevant to field robotics on uneven terrain (Mohammadi et al., 2024; Zhou, 2024). By comparing these robot types functionally rather than taxonomically, the methodology extracts transferable principles applicable to orchard operations.

Finally, the study applies a rigor criterion of citation-

grounded inference. Every major design choice in the proposed framework is justified by at least one line of literature from the supplied references. Where the study extends beyond the explicit wording of any single reference, it does so by synthesis rather than speculation. For instance, no cited reference directly presents a complete citrus orchard robot architecture combining satellite mapping and motion planning. However, the combination is methodologically justified because the remote sensing literature provides robust environmental interpretation mechanisms, and the robotics literature provides robust execution mechanisms. The novelty emerges at their intersection.

RESULTS

The results of this study are theoretical, architectural, and operational rather than purely numerical. They arise from the structured integration of the provided literature into a unified orchard intelligence framework. The central result is that precision citrus agriculture can be reconceived as a closed-loop system linking deep environmental perception and motion-stable robotic intervention. From this overarching result, several specific findings emerge.

The first result is that orchard perception becomes substantially more useful when it is organized around temporal-biological meaning rather than static image classification alone. The reviewed literature repeatedly suggests that vegetation is best interpreted in context. Chen et al. (2024) demonstrate that a phenology-based vegetation index improves crop mapping because biological timing provides discriminative structure. Xu et al. (2018) show that long-term time-series Landsat data can capture orchard dynamics, revealing that perennial agricultural systems are best understood as evolving entities rather than fixed classes. Xiao et al. (2020) further show that red-edge spectral indices improve the mapping of vegetation types whose spectral distinctions may be subtle. When these studies are interpreted together, the result is clear: in citrus orchard intelligence, temporal trajectory is as important as spatial snapshot. The practical

implication is that orchard sensing systems should not merely identify “orchard” versus “non-orchard” classes but should estimate orchard condition as a time-sensitive process involving vigor, stress, structural maturity, and seasonal accessibility.

A second result is that spectral-spatial deep learning provides the most appropriate representational bridge between agricultural imagery and operational robotics. Foundational deep learning literature established the superiority of learned hierarchical image representations for complex classification tasks (Krizhevsky et al., 2017; Russakovsky et al., 2015). Residual learning and pyramidal design then addressed depth-related optimization challenges while increasing expressive capacity (Han & Kim, 2017). In the remote sensing domain, Zhong et al. (2017) and Paoletti et al. (2018) show that spectral-spatial residual architectures outperform approaches that treat spectral signatures or spatial textures in isolation. The synthesized result is that orchard intelligence should rely on models capable of preserving both the biochemical signatures of vegetation and the geometric arrangement of orchard structures. This matters because robots do not act on isolated pixels. They act in row systems, under canopies, beside trunks, across terrain transitions, and through semantically structured corridors. A spectral-spatial model can therefore furnish the kind of environmental understanding needed for robotic action: not only what vegetation exists, but how it is distributed, how dense it is, and how that structure shapes feasible movement.

A third result is that remote sensing products should be converted into operational zones rather than left as descriptive maps. This may appear intuitive, but it constitutes a significant shift in how precision agriculture systems are designed. Next-generation mapping research argues that deep learning and cloud-scale data processing can transform Earth observation into decision-oriented intelligence (Parente et al., 2019). In the present framework, this transformation occurs through agronomic decision zoning. The synthesized findings indicate that orchard maps should produce categories such as

high-monitoring-priority areas, smooth-traversal corridors, canopy-dense caution zones, potential obstacle clusters, irrigation-sensitive sectors, and probable intervention targets. The result is important because it changes the informational contract between sensing and robotics. Rather than asking robots to interpret raw geospatial data on the fly, the system gives them semantically filtered mission-relevant spatial guidance.

A fourth result is that robotic planning in orchard systems must be conditioned by semantics, not just geometry. Robotics literature often emphasizes smooth paths, feasible kinematics, or obstacle avoidance. These remain essential. Studies of hyper-redundant robots show how motion planning can adapt to highly constrained spaces (Luo et al., 2024). Continuum robot work shows how dynamic obstacles can be handled through coordinated planning and tracking (Qin & Chen, 2025). Parallel robot and mixed polynomial trajectory studies show the value of smoothness, continuity, and computationally controlled motion shaping (Luo, 2025; Zhang et al., 2025). However, when these insights are placed in the orchard context developed by the sensing literature, a new result emerges: not all free space is equally desirable, and not all obstacles are equally meaningful. The robot should prefer routes that minimize crop interference, avoid agronomically sensitive zones, align with current task priority, and preserve future accessibility. Therefore, the best orchard trajectory is not simply the shortest safe path. It is the most agronomically appropriate safe path.

A fifth result is that motion smoothness is not merely a kinematic preference but a biological and operational necessity in orchard environments. In industrial contexts, smooth trajectories improve precision, reduce wear, and enhance predictability. In citrus orchards, they do all of that, but they also reduce branch disturbance, prevent unintended fruit contact, and maintain consistent sensor viewpoints. Research on smooth trajectory planning for parallel robots and optimal motor control for robotic joints shows that continuous, well-shaped movement is

critical for accurate and stable performance (Luo, 2025; Wang, 2023). The integrative result is that smoothness in orchard robotics should be treated as a crop-preservation principle as much as a control objective. This reframing has consequences for system design. It favors planners that incorporate curvature continuity, gentle transitions, and stability-aware velocity changes, especially near canopy interfaces.

A sixth result concerns the importance of reliability and stability under environmental uncertainty. Agricultural fields differ fundamentally from laboratory and factory conditions because terrain can be uneven, traction can vary, and environmental interactions are less predictable. Research on humanoid balance control demonstrates that stable operation depends on both structural design and dynamic regulation (Mohammadi et al., 2024). Research on reconfigurable biped robot leg structures highlights the role of compliant and elastic design in motion under non-ideal conditions (Zhou, 2024). Research on dynamic reliability assessment for industrial robots shows that stability should be evaluated in terms of response variability, not only nominal path accuracy (Zhou et al., 2025). Synthesizing these findings, the present study concludes that agricultural robotic intelligence must include an explicit reliability filter between planning and execution. A path that is geometrically valid but dynamically fragile should be rejected or downgraded. This is especially important in orchard operations where instability may damage trees, reduce worker trust, and compromise mission continuity.

A seventh result is that different robotic embodiments are not competing alternatives so much as complementary responses to different orchard sub-problems. The reviewed studies span robotic arms, six-axis systems, hyper-redundant robots, continuum robots, parallel mechanisms, humanoids, and bipeds (Wang, 2023; Zhang et al., 2025; Luo et al., 2024; Qin & Chen, 2025; Luo, 2025; Mohammadi et al., 2024; Zhou, 2024). The synthesis suggests that citrus orchard intelligence

should be platform-agnostic at the architectural level but embodiment-specific at the operational level. For navigation across rows, a stable mobile base may suffice. For movement within dense canopy interiors, hyper-redundant or continuum-like mechanisms may offer superior reach and flexibility. For precision repeated tasks in controlled station-based settings, parallel or six-axis systems may be preferable. The result is that the orchard intelligence framework should separate global mission logic from local embodiment execution. In practical terms, the same environmental semantic map can support multiple robots, each interpreting it through its own motion constraints and task capabilities.

An eighth result is that water and terrain information should be treated as motion variables, not only agronomic variables. Tu et al. (2021) model water budget components in a mature citrus orchard, demonstrating that orchard hydrology has structured spatial behavior. Traditional precision agriculture might use such information primarily for irrigation planning. The present synthesis yields a broader result: hydrological and soil condition estimates also shape robotic traversability, slippage risk, compaction consequences, and timing of intervention. Similarly, Li et al. (2007) show that climate, topography, and soil jointly determine orchard suitability. Extending that insight, the framework treats these variables as priors for robotic mission risk. Areas with greater slope, variable soil consistency, or hydrological sensitivity become not only management zones but motion planning constraints. This result deepens the integration between environmental science and robotics by showing that agronomic state and physical mobility are tightly coupled.

A ninth result is that large-scale Earth observation and local robotics should be understood as temporally nested systems. Satellite observations provide broad temporal continuity but limited immediacy and local occlusion handling. Robots provide fine-grained local action but limited synoptic awareness. The synthesis shows that their strengths are complementary. Long-term monitoring

identifies structural trends, orchard expansion or decline, and persistent variability (Xu et al., 2018). Phenology-aware and red-edge-sensitive methods sharpen periodic interpretation (Chen et al., 2024; Xiao et al., 2020). Meanwhile, local robots can verify, act upon, and refine those assessments in real time. The result is a temporally nested intelligence system in which remote sensing establishes strategic awareness and robots provide tactical execution. This nesting reduces the burden on each subsystem. Satellites do not need to resolve every local branch obstacle, and robots do not need to rediscover every orchard-wide pattern from scratch.

A tenth result is that agricultural robotic coordination should be conceptualized as information coordination as much as physical coordination. Nkwocha et al. (2025) present sensing, control, and networking as central pillars of agricultural robotics. When combined with the mapping literature, this indicates that coordination across orchard robots could occur through shared semantic environment models derived from remote and local sensing. The result is significant because it expands the meaning of multi-robot coordination beyond collision avoidance or task allocation. In an orchard intelligence framework, coordination can include shared updates about canopy blockage, terrain instability, intervention completion, and changing accessibility. This makes the orchard not just a space where robots move, but an informational ecosystem in which robots collectively maintain an updated understanding of operational conditions.

An eleventh result relates to system robustness across seasons and orchard life stages. Citrus orchards change over time in canopy volume, management intensity, and environmental exposure. Long-term Landsat analysis and phenology-aware mapping indicate that temporal structure is indispensable to orchard interpretation (Xu et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2024). The synthesized result is that robotic mission planning should not rely on static maps or one-time calibration. Instead, it should be seasonally parameterized. Passage widths, preferred approach angles, inspection priorities, and

intervention urgency may all shift with phenological stage. In effect, the orchard intelligence framework becomes adaptive not just in reaction to immediate obstacles, but in anticipation of periodic biological change.

A twelfth result is that reliability in orchard robotics depends on integrating perception uncertainty into control logic. Deep learning outputs are probabilistic or confidence-bearing even when presented as discrete labels. Environmental maps are never perfect. The robotics literature on dynamic reliability implies that motion quality must be assessed under uncertainty rather than ideal certainty (Zhou et al., 2025). The synthesis therefore produces a further result: uncertainty from sensing should be propagated into motion planning. Zones with ambiguous canopy density, uncertain ground condition, or weak classification confidence should trigger more conservative speeds, alternative paths, or localized verification behavior. This is a critical systems finding because it prevents overconfidence in autonomous operation.

A final overarching result is that the fusion of orchard monitoring and robotic planning produces a more complete model of precision agriculture than either domain can provide independently. Remote sensing without robotics remains predominantly observational. Robotics without agronomic semantics remains operationally narrow. Deep learning without field embodiment risks producing excellent classifications with limited practical leverage. By combining these streams, the framework developed here produces an agricultural intelligence model that is strategic, local, adaptive, and executable. This is the central intellectual product of the study.

DISCUSSION

The findings of this study have broad implications for precision agriculture, autonomous robotics, and the theory of intelligent agro-technical systems. At the most general level, the results challenge the persistent separation between environmental

perception and physical intervention. Many agricultural technologies still assume an implicit division of labor in which remote sensing is responsible for diagnosis and robotics is responsible for action. The framework developed here suggests that this division is too coarse. Diagnosis and action are intertwined. The way an orchard is sensed should depend partly on the actions one intends to perform, and the way a robot moves should depend partly on the semantic richness of orchard interpretation. This reciprocal view is one of the study's most important theoretical contributions.

One implication of this view is that orchard management should be treated as a problem of embodied intelligence rather than informational accumulation. In many digital agriculture systems, there is an assumption that better maps automatically lead to better management. This is only partly true. Better maps create potential value, but that value is realized only when management systems can convert spatial knowledge into timely and reliable action. The present synthesis shows that such conversion requires an intermediate representational layer: the orchard must be understood not merely as a mapped object but as an action field. Spectral-spatial deep learning contributes to this transition because it can produce richer environmental semantics than classical classification approaches (Zhong et al., 2017; Paoletti et al., 2018). Robotics planning contributes by operationalizing those semantics through movement logic (Luo et al., 2024; Qin & Chen, 2025; Luo, 2025). The conceptual gain comes from recognizing that representation and action form a continuous chain.

A second implication concerns the status of phenology in autonomous agriculture. Phenology is sometimes treated narrowly as an aid to classification performance. The present study argues that it should instead be understood as a structural variable shaping the entire perception-action cycle. If phenological phase changes canopy density, spectral response, and task urgency, then it should influence mission scheduling, route preference, local control thresholds, and task-tool matching. Chen et

al. (2024) show the mapping advantages of phenology-sensitive vegetation indexing, but the broader implication is that any autonomous system working in perennial crops must be season-aware. Citrus orchards are especially suitable for this logic because they exhibit temporally layered management needs. A robotic system insensitive to phenological variation may perform well technically yet still operate at the wrong time, in the wrong place, or with the wrong motion strategy.

A third implication emerges from the discussion of stability and reliability. Agricultural robotics is often framed as a matter of mobility and automation, but the reviewed literature suggests a deeper problem. Stability is not simply about preventing falls or mechanical failure. In field agriculture, stability underwrites ecological gentleness, operational trust, and long-term adoption. A robot that causes visible canopy disturbance, wheel slip, or erratic motion may be judged unsuccessful even if it technically completes its route. Studies of humanoid balance, biped elasticity, and industrial robot reliability remind us that motion quality must be evaluated as a dynamic property under uncertainty, not only as nominal path completion (Mohammadi et al., 2024; Zhou, 2024; Zhou et al., 2025). This has major implications for agricultural deployment. It suggests that field robotics should adopt a conservative engineering ethic in which smoothness, recoverability, and reliability are treated as primary performance metrics.

The study also has implications for the ongoing evolution of deep learning in agriculture. Foundational visual recognition work established the power of convolutional networks at scale (Krizhevsky et al., 2017; Russakovsky et al., 2015). Subsequent remote sensing adaptations proved that domain-specific architectures, particularly spectral-spatial residual models, can capture agricultural and environmental structure more effectively than generic image classifiers (Zhong et al., 2017; Paoletti et al., 2018). However, the discussion here suggests that the next important step is not simply training bigger or deeper models. It is designing models

whose outputs are directly usable by action systems. In other words, agricultural deep learning must become increasingly intervention-aware. A model that predicts canopy class with high accuracy but offers no insight into passability, branch density, local uncertainty, or action cost may be analytically impressive but operationally limited. The present framework therefore encourages a shift from purely recognition-centered metrics toward utility-centered representations.

Another important point concerns scale. One recurring challenge in precision agriculture is the mismatch between macro-scale sensing and micro-scale management. Satellite time series provide continuity and coverage, but orchard operations unfold in immediate local conditions. The framework developed here addresses this by proposing temporally nested intelligence: broad-scale remote sensing for strategic awareness and local robotics for tactical execution. This nested approach has conceptual strength because it respects the limits of each modality. It avoids the unrealistic assumption that satellite data alone can drive fine manipulation and avoids the equally unrealistic assumption that local robots can infer all orchard-wide context without external support. The nested model is also consistent with next-generation mapping research, which emphasizes the value of large remote sensing archives and cloud computation for scalable agricultural insight (Parente et al., 2019).

The interdisciplinary nature of the study also invites reflection on transferability. Some of the robotics references are not specific to citrus or even to agriculture. They include parallel robots, industrial reliability studies, and humanoid or biped systems (Luo, 2025; Zhang et al., 2025; Mohammadi et al., 2024; Zhou et al., 2025; Zhou, 2024). One could argue that transferring such findings into orchard agriculture risks overextension. This is a legitimate concern. However, the present framework does not assume direct one-to-one transfer of every design element. Instead, it extracts cross-cutting principles: smooth trajectory generation matters where environmental contact is costly; balance and

compliance matter where terrain is irregular; dynamic reliability matters where uncertainty is unavoidable; embodiment-specific planning matters where tasks vary. These principles are not confined to their original application domains. The discussion therefore supports careful, principle-based transfer rather than naive transplantation.

There are also conceptual counterarguments that deserve attention. One possible objection is that integrating remote sensing and robotics may introduce excessive system complexity. A simpler solution, critics might suggest, would be to deploy local sensing directly on robots and avoid dependence on satellite-based or historical orchard models. This objection has force, especially when considering implementation costs. Yet the literature indicates that long-term monitoring and phenology-sensitive mapping add kinds of information that local sensors alone cannot easily reconstruct, especially historical trends and orchard-wide variability (Xu et al., 2018; Chen et al., 2024). Local sensing is indispensable for immediate navigation, but without strategic context it may become reactive rather than intelligent. The present framework does not replace local sensing with remote sensing; it layers them. That layering increases complexity, but it also increases context-awareness and anticipatory capacity.

A related counterargument is that deep learning models can be brittle under domain shift, especially in agricultural contexts with changing seasons, illumination, and management practices. This concern is well founded in general machine learning terms, and it reinforces rather than weakens the present argument. Because agricultural environments are variable, deep learning outputs should not be treated as final truths. They should be embedded in adaptive systems with uncertainty awareness, feedback coupling, and seasonal recalibration. The framework explicitly incorporates these safeguards by propagating sensing uncertainty into motion planning and by allowing execution feedback to update semantic maps. In that sense, the discussion points toward a restrained and systems-

oriented use of deep learning rather than blind confidence in model outputs.

The framework also speaks to sustainability. Precision agriculture is often associated with efficiency, but efficiency alone can be interpreted too narrowly as input reduction or labor substitution. In orchard systems, sustainability includes avoiding unnecessary intervention, preserving canopy integrity, reducing soil compaction, improving water stewardship, and supporting long-term orchard health. Studies on orchard water budgets and environmental suitability highlight that citrus production is embedded in ecological constraints (Tu et al., 2021; Li et al., 2007). An intelligence system that links sensing and motion can support sustainability by matching interventions to real conditions, routing robots in ways that reduce ecological disturbance, and focusing activity where it is most justified. This is an important shift because it frames autonomy not only as mechanization, but as ecologically informed selectivity.

Several limitations of the present study should be acknowledged. The first limitation is that the article is architecture-driven rather than experimentally validated through a single integrated field deployment. The framework is built rigorously from the supplied references, but it remains a synthesized research design rather than a reported trial with sensor logs, field trajectories, and measured yield outcomes. This limits the ability to claim quantitative superiority over alternative systems. The contribution instead lies in conceptual integration and methodological clarity.

The second limitation is heterogeneity among the cited literatures. Remote sensing studies, deep learning papers, and robotics control studies operate with different assumptions, datasets, evaluation criteria, and performance standards. Combining them productively requires abstraction. While this abstraction is necessary for interdisciplinary synthesis, it can obscure implementation-specific difficulties. For example, a spectral-spatial model performing well in hyperspectral or multi-spectral

classification does not automatically produce real-time semantic layers at the latency required by mobile robots. Likewise, a trajectory planner validated in simulation may require substantial adaptation before it can handle orchard dirt, branch contact, and weather variation. The framework recognizes these challenges, but it does not eliminate them.

A third limitation is the relative scarcity of direct citrus-specific robotic motion studies in the reference set. Citrus-related references strongly support orchard monitoring, environmental interpretation, and water modeling (Li et al., 2007; Tu et al., 2021; Xu et al., 2018), while robotics references strongly support advanced planning and stability. The novelty of the article lies precisely in bridging these sets, but that bridge remains partly inferential. Future empirical work should therefore test the framework in actual citrus orchard missions such as inspection, selective treatment, or assisted harvesting.

A fourth limitation concerns sensing granularity. Satellite and cloud-scale mapping offer strategic value, but some orchard-relevant features may be obscured by canopy overlap, resolution limits, or temporal revisit constraints. The framework would likely benefit from integration with proximal sensing modalities, although such modalities are not the central basis of the present reference set. Still, the architecture is compatible with this extension because it already distinguishes broad-scale observation from local semantic refinement.

The future scope of this research is extensive. A first direction is field validation through multi-stage implementation. Researchers could begin by generating citrus orchard semantic maps from Landsat-Sentinel time series informed by phenology and red-edge spectral features, then test whether these maps improve robotic route planning relative to geometry-only baselines. A second direction is uncertainty-aware control, where classification confidence directly modulates robot speed, route selection, and verification behavior. A third direction

is multi-robot coordination, using shared orchard semantic layers to distribute inspection and intervention tasks in networked teams, as anticipated by agricultural robotics reviews (Nkwocha et al., 2025). A fourth direction is seasonal mission adaptation, where the same orchard receives different mobility models and task priorities across phenological phases. A fifth direction is embodiment specialization, testing how hyper-redundant, continuum, wheeled, and articulated platforms perform under the same semantic orchard model.

Another promising future direction is the integration of simulation and digital twins. Kinematic analysis using environments such as CoppeliaSim has already been demonstrated for multi-axis robots (Zhang et al., 2025). Extending this to orchard digital environments would allow researchers to test semantic maps, trajectory smoothness, and reliability thresholds before field deployment. This could help address one of the most difficult problems in agricultural robotics: safe iteration under real-world uncertainty.

More broadly, the study suggests that future agricultural intelligence systems should be designed around an explicit philosophy of closed-loop adaptation. That philosophy has four parts: observe the orchard through temporally rich sensing, interpret it through deep semantic models, act through stability-aware robotic planning, and learn from embodied outcomes. The significance of this philosophy extends beyond citrus. It may apply to other perennial systems, structured orchards, vineyards, and even mixed agro-ecological environments where sensing and intervention must be tightly coupled. Citrus orchards are simply an especially clear and valuable case because they combine environmental heterogeneity, economic importance, and suitability for precision intervention.

CONCLUSION

Precision citrus agriculture is entering a stage where observation, interpretation, and action can no longer

be treated as separate technical domains. The literature considered in this study shows that remote sensing has matured from static crop mapping toward temporally informed, spectrally sensitive environmental intelligence; deep learning has matured from generic feature extraction toward spectral-spatial semantic interpretation; and robotics has matured from basic motion execution toward smooth, adaptive, reliable, and stability-aware planning (Chen et al., 2024; Xu et al., 2018; Xiao et al., 2020; Krizhevsky et al., 2017; Han & Kim, 2017; Zhong et al., 2017; Paoletti et al., 2018; Luo et al., 2024; Qin & Chen, 2025; Zhou et al., 2025). What has remained underdeveloped is the integration of these capabilities into a single orchard intelligence framework. This article has addressed that gap.

The study's central conclusion is that citrus orchard management should be modeled as a closed perception-action system. In this system, long-term and seasonal remote sensing establishes strategic awareness of orchard condition; deep spectral-spatial networks convert that awareness into semantically rich operational representations; agronomic zoning translates those representations into mission logic; and robotic planning and control transform mission logic into smooth, stable, and reliable action. This architecture is conceptually stronger than fragmented approaches because it aligns the strengths of each technology with the weaknesses of the others. Satellite monitoring contributes coverage and temporal continuity. Deep learning contributes representational richness. Robotics contributes embodied execution. Reliability analysis contributes operational realism. Together, they form a coherent precision agriculture paradigm.

A second conclusion is that orchard robotics should not be planned solely in geometric terms. In citrus systems, motion should be conditioned by biological, environmental, and operational semantics. The best route is not simply the shortest collision-free path; it is the path that minimizes crop disturbance, respects environmental risk, matches task urgency, and maintains stability under uncertainty. This conclusion broadens the meaning

of robotic intelligence in agriculture and provides a stronger basis for future autonomous field systems.

A third conclusion is that smoothness, stability, and reliability are foundational, not auxiliary. They determine whether robotic intervention is agronomically acceptable, operationally trustworthy, and scalable beyond demonstration environments. The reviewed robotics literature consistently reinforces this principle, and the orchard context makes it even more important.

Finally, the study concludes that the future of precision citrus production lies not in isolated technological upgrades but in integrated intelligence. The most promising research trajectory is one in which orchards are continuously sensed, semantically understood, and carefully acted upon by systems that learn from both environmental data and embodied experience. The framework presented here offers a publication-ready foundation for that trajectory and establishes a research agenda for the next generation of orchard autonomy.

REFERENCES

1. Chen, Y., Hu, J., Cai, Z., et al. (2024). A phenology-based vegetation index for improving ratoon rice mapping using harmonized Landsat and Sentinel-2 data. *Journal of Integrative Agriculture*, 23(4), 1164-1178.
2. Han, D., & Kim, J. (2017). Deep pyramidal residual networks. 2017 IEEE Conference on Computer Vision and Pattern Recognition, 5927-5935.
3. Krizhevsky, A., Sutskever, I., & Hinton, G. E. (2017). ImageNet classification with deep convolutional neural networks. *Communications of the ACM*, 60(6), 84-90.
4. Li, S. Y., Zhou, X. J., Yin, J. M., et al. (2007). Comprehensive division of climate, topography and soil for navel orange planting in Xunwu County. *Acta Agriculturae Jiangxiensis*, 19(5), 40-43.
5. Luo, S., Zeng, F., Zeng, X., et al. (2024). Research adaptive motion planning method for multi-joint hyper-redundant robot. *Mechanical Transmission*, 48(8), 6-32.
6. Luo, Y. (2025). A space discretization method for smooth trajectory planning of a 5PUS-RPUR parallel robot. *Applied Sciences*, 15(6), 9212-9212. <https://doi.org/10.3390/APP15169212>
7. Mohammadi, V., Hosseini, M., Jafari, F., et al. (2024). RoboMan: An adult-sized humanoid robot with enhanced performance, inherent stability, and two-stage balance control to facilitate research on humanoids. *Robotics*, 13(10).
8. Nkwocha, C. L., Adewumi, A., Folorunsho, S. O., et al. (2025). A comprehensive review of sensing, control, and networking in agricultural robots: From perception to coordination. *Robotics*, 14(11).
9. Paoletti, M. E., Haut, J. M., Fernandez-Beltran, R., et al. (2018). Deep pyramidal residual networks for spectral-spatial hyperspectral image classification. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 57(2), 740-754.
10. Parente, L., Taquary, E., Silva, A. P., et al. (2019). Next generation mapping: Combining deep learning, cloud computing, and big remote sensing data. *Remote Sensing*, 11(23), 2881.
11. Qin, Y., & Chen, Q. (2025). Trajectory planning and tracking control for cable-driven continuum robots with dynamic obstacles. *Journal of the Franklin Institute*, 362(16).
12. Russakovsky, O., Deng, J., Su, H., et al. (2015). ImageNet large scale visual recognition challenge. *International Journal of Computer Vision*, 115(3), 211-252.
13. Tu, A., Xie, S., Mo, M., et al. (2021). Water budget components estimation for a mature

- citrus orchard of southern China based on HYDRUS-1D model. *Agricultural Water Management*, 243, 106426.
14. Vahid Mohammadi, Mojtaba Hosseini, Farhad Jafari, et al. (2024). RoboMan: An adult-sized humanoid robot with enhanced performance, inherent stability, and two-stage balance control to facilitate research on humanoids. *Robotics*, 13(10).
 15. Wang, T. (2023). Research on optimal control methods for joint motors of robotic arms. Changchun University of Technology. <https://doi.org/10.27805/d.cnki.gccgy.2023.00002>
 16. Xiao, C., Li, P., Feng, Z., et al. (2020). Sentinel-2 red-edge spectral indices (RESI) suitability for mapping rubber boom in Luang Namtha Province, northern Lao PDR. *International Journal of Applied Earth Observation and Geoinformation*, 93, 102176.
 17. Xu, H., Qi, S., Gong, P., et al. (2018). Long-term monitoring of citrus orchard dynamics using time-series Landsat data: A case study in southern China. *International Journal of Remote Sensing*, 39(22), 8271-8292.
 18. Zhang, S., Liu, Z., Zhu, Y., et al. (2025). Kinematic analysis and simulation of a six-axis robot based on CoppeliaSim. *Journal of Shipboard Electronics and Countermeasures*, 48(5), 100-104+120. <https://doi.org/10.16426/j.cnki.jcdzdk.205.05.020>
 19. Zhang, Y., Yu, Y., Wang, W., et al. (2025). Trajectory planning of parallel mechanism based on mixed polynomials. *Computer Simulation*, 42(9), 477-482+514.
 20. Zhong, Z., Li, J., Luo, Z., et al. (2017). Spectral-spatial residual network for hyperspectral image classification: A 3-D deep learning framework. *IEEE Transactions on Geoscience and Remote Sensing*, 56(2), 847-858.
 21. Zhou, D., Han, J., Chen, Z., et al. (2025). Dynamic reliability assessment for motion stability of industrial robot based on high-order response moments. *Measurement*, 246.
 22. Zhou, H. (2024). Design of composite joint leg structure and its elastic motion research for reconfigurable biped robots. Shanghai. <https://doi.org/10.27300/d.cnki.gshau.2024.00041>