

A Novel Framework for Classifying Power System Disturbances Using Wavelet Scattering Transform-Derived Feature Matrices

Dr. Omar Saad Al-Jabouri

Department of Mechanical Engineering University of Technology, Baghdad, Iraq

Zainab Tariq Al-Khafaji

Department of Software Engineering University of Kufa, Najaf, Iraq

Published Date: -
18 February 2025,

Page No.: - 32-37

KEYWORDS

Power system disturbances, wavelet scattering transform, feature matrix, machine learning, classification, signal processing, multi-scale analysis, electrical grid monitoring, fault detection, time-frequency representation.

ABSTRACT

Accurate classification of power system disturbances is pivotal for the reliability, stability, and operational efficiency of modern electrical grids. Traditional signal processing approaches often struggle with the non-stationary and multi-scale nature of disturbance signals, limiting their diagnostic precision. This research introduces a novel framework leveraging wavelet scattering transform-derived feature matrices for the classification of diverse power system disturbances. By integrating multi-scale convolutional scattering representations with machine learning classifiers, the proposed framework enhances robustness against signal variability, preserves discriminative features, and facilitates accurate disturbance categorization. Experimental validation using benchmark datasets demonstrates significant improvements in classification accuracy compared to conventional methodologies, highlighting the framework’s potential for real-world implementation in power system monitoring and automated fault detection. The results underscore the theoretical and practical advantages of wavelet scattering-based feature extraction for high-dimensional and non-linear signal analysis in complex power systems.

1. Introduction

1.1 Problem Statement

Power system disturbances, including voltage sags, transients, harmonics, and fault events, pose significant challenges to grid stability and operational reliability (Andén & Mallat, 2014). Accurate identification and classification of these disturbances are essential for effective mitigation, timely fault isolation, and predictive maintenance. Conventional approaches, such as Fourier-based spectral analysis or simple wavelet transforms, often fail to capture both local and global signal structures simultaneously, leading to reduced classification performance in non-stationary environments (Mallat, 2012; Bruna & Mallat, 2011).

1.2 Research Relevance

The advent of advanced time-frequency analysis techniques, particularly wavelet scattering transforms,

offers a promising alternative. Scattering transforms extend classical wavelet analysis by introducing cascaded convolution and modulus operations, generating translation-invariant and deformation-stable representations (Andén & Mallat, 2014). Such representations are highly suitable for power system signals, which often exhibit non-linear, multi-scale characteristics due to dynamic load changes, renewable integration, and fault events (Lai et al., 2021; Jin & Duan, 2020).

1.3 Objectives

This study aims to develop a robust classification framework for power system disturbances based on wavelet scattering transform-derived feature matrices. The specific objectives include:

1. Constructing a multi-scale feature matrix that preserves discriminative signal characteristics.

2. Integrating the feature matrix with machine learning classifiers to improve classification accuracy.

3. Evaluating the framework on real-world and simulated disturbance datasets to demonstrate its efficacy relative to conventional methods.

1.4 Scope and Significance

The proposed framework addresses a critical gap in high-resolution, scalable, and invariant feature extraction for power system analysis. Beyond classification, the methodology provides insights into the structural patterns of disturbances, supporting automated decision-making in grid monitoring systems. Its applicability spans from conventional transmission and distribution networks to microgrids with high penetration of renewable energy sources (Karan & Yeh, 2020; Veerasamy et al., 2021).

2. Literature Review

2.1 Wavelet Scattering Transform in Signal Analysis

The wavelet scattering transform (WST) has emerged as a powerful tool for hierarchical feature extraction from non-stationary signals (Mallat, 2012; Andén & Mallat, 2014). By cascading wavelet convolutions with non-linear modulus operations, WST produces translation-invariant representations while retaining high-frequency content critical for classification tasks. This property has been exploited in domains such as audio classification (Andén & Mallat, 2011), biomedical signal analysis (Agboola & Zaccheus, 2023), and structural health monitoring (Lai et al., 2021).

2.2 Applications in Electrical Systems

Previous research demonstrates WST's efficacy in electrical signal contexts. For instance, Branco et al. (2022) employed wavelet LSTM combinations for fault forecasting in electrical power grids, highlighting the importance of invariant feature extraction. Similarly, AlBader and Toliyat (2020) applied WST for induction motor current signature analysis, evidencing enhanced sensitivity to fault-induced signal variations. These studies underscore the transformative potential of scattering-based approaches in electrical system diagnostics.

2.3 Comparative Analysis of Existing Approaches

Traditional power system disturbance classification methods rely on Fourier, short-time Fourier, or discrete wavelet transforms. While effective for stationary or quasi-stationary signals, these methods are prone to performance degradation under high-frequency transient events or varying load conditions (Shukla & Deepa, 2024; Soro & Lee, 2019). In contrast, WST-based frameworks, as demonstrated in audio (Lostanlen et al., 2018) and radar imaging applications (Jin & Duan, 2020), provide multi-resolution, invariant features that improve classifier robustness and reduce sensitivity to signal deformation.

2.4 Identification of Research Gaps

Despite growing evidence of WST's applicability, its direct adoption for power system disturbance classification remains underexplored. Critical gaps include:

1. Lack of structured, multi-scale feature matrices tailored for diverse disturbance types.
2. Limited integration with advanced machine learning classifiers for high-accuracy categorization.
3. Insufficient comparative studies against conventional wavelet and Fourier-based approaches under variable grid conditions (Ghezaiel et al., 2020; Qu et al., 2020).

3. Methodology

3.1 Overview of Framework

The proposed framework consists of three core stages: signal acquisition, feature extraction using WST, and classification via machine learning algorithms. The framework architecture is designed to handle diverse disturbance types and capture both temporal and spectral signal characteristics (Andén & Mallat, 2014).

3.2 Signal Acquisition and Preprocessing

Disturbance signals are collected from high-fidelity measurement devices, including phasor measurement units (PMUs) and digital fault recorders (DFRs). Preprocessing involves noise reduction using band-pass filtering and normalization to ensure numerical stability in subsequent WST computations (Bruna & Mallat, 2013).

3.3 Wavelet Scattering Transform Feature Extraction

The WST module computes multi-scale convolutional representations. First-order scattering coefficients capture local variations, while second-order coefficients encode interactions across frequency bands. These coefficients are organized into a feature matrix where each row corresponds to a time window and each column represents a scattering path, preserving both local and global signal structures (Mallat, 2012; Andén & Mallat, 2014).

3.4 Feature Matrix Construction

The extracted coefficients are normalized and concatenated into a two-dimensional matrix suitable for machine learning input. Each matrix entry reflects the magnitude of signal deformation at a specific scale and orientation. This structured representation facilitates effective classification and interpretability of disturbance characteristics (Lostanlen et al., 2018).

3.5 Classification Algorithm

Machine learning classifiers, including support vector machines (SVM) and feedforward neural networks, are employed to categorize disturbances. Cross-validation and hyperparameter optimization ensure model generalizability. The classifier leverages the invariant and discriminative properties of the WST-derived feature matrix, resulting in high accuracy across transient, sag, and harmonic disturbances (Al-Itbi et al., 2022; Rohan, 2022).

4. Results / Findings

4.1 Experimental Setup

To evaluate the proposed framework, a dataset comprising simulated and real-world power system

disturbances was employed. Signal types included voltage sags, transients, interruptions, and harmonic distortions. Each signal was sampled at 10 kHz and segmented into time windows of 200 ms. Wavelet scattering coefficients were extracted up to the second order, forming a high-dimensional feature matrix for each segment (Mallat, 2012; Andén & Mallat, 2014).

Machine learning classifiers—including SVM, feedforward neural networks, and gradient-boosted trees—were trained using an 80:20 train-test split. Performance metrics included classification accuracy, precision, recall, and F1-score. Cross-validation ensured statistical robustness.

4.2 Feature Matrix Visualization

Visualization of the WST-derived feature matrices revealed distinct patterns corresponding to different disturbance types. Voltage sags exhibited localized high-energy coefficients in the low-frequency bands, while transients showed widespread high-frequency scattering activity (Liu et al., 2022; Jin & Duan, 2020). Harmonic distortions were characterized by periodic energy distributions across multiple scales. These observations confirm the theoretical capability of WST to capture multi-scale signal characteristics critical for classification.

4.3 Classification Performance

The proposed framework achieved an overall classification accuracy of 96.8%, outperforming traditional discrete wavelet transform (DWT)-based methods (89.2%) and Fourier-based approaches (85.4%). The SVM classifier provided the best balance of precision (97.1%) and recall (96.5%), demonstrating its compatibility with WST-derived feature matrices (Bruna & Mallat, 2013; Soro & Lee, 2019).

Classifier	Accuracy (%)	Precision (%)	Recall (%)	F1-Score (%)
SVM	96.8	97.1	96.5	96.8
Neural Net	95.3	95.8	94.7	95.2
Gradient Boost	94.6	94.9	94.1	94.5

4.4 Analysis of Robustness

Robustness was tested under varying noise levels (SNR: 0–30 dB). Classification accuracy remained above 92%

even at low SNR levels, highlighting the invariance property of scattering coefficients and their resilience to measurement noise (Lostanlen et al., 2018; AlBader & Toliyat, 2020).

4.5 Implications

The results indicate that WST-derived feature matrices preserve discriminative information across temporal and frequency domains, allowing high-fidelity classification of complex disturbance signals. This capability is particularly valuable for real-time monitoring and automated fault detection in smart grids and renewable-integrated microgrids (Veerasamy et al., 2021; Bourguna et al., 2021).

5. Discussion

5.1 Theoretical Interpretation

The high performance of the proposed framework validates the theoretical underpinnings of WST. By cascading wavelet convolutions and non-linear modulus operations, WST generates representations that are stable to translations and deformations, addressing a key limitation of classical wavelet and Fourier methods (Mallat, 2012; Andén & Mallat, 2014). The second-order scattering coefficients, in particular, capture interactions between signal components, enhancing the separability of disturbance classes (Bruna & Mallat, 2011).

5.2 Practical Implications

From a practical perspective, the proposed framework supports real-time deployment in power system monitoring. Its ability to classify disturbances with high accuracy under noisy conditions can reduce false alarms, optimize maintenance schedules, and enhance grid resilience. The feature matrix's structured format facilitates integration with existing SCADA systems and intelligent controllers (Ghezaiel et al., 2020; Jin & Duan, 2020).

5.3 Comparison with Existing Literature

Compared to prior approaches, the framework exhibits superior performance. For instance, DWT-based fault detection achieved lower accuracy due to limited scale invariance and sensitivity to signal deformation (AlBader & Toliyat, 2020; Qu et al., 2020). Neural network approaches without WST input often struggled with generalization across disturbances (Soro & Lee, 2019; Karan & Yeh, 2020). Integrating WST-derived matrices addresses both challenges by providing invariant, multi-scale features compatible with machine learning classifiers.

5.4 Limitations and Trade-offs

Despite its advantages, the proposed approach presents computational complexity due to high-dimensional scattering coefficient computation. Real-time deployment on resource-constrained devices may require optimization or dimensionality reduction techniques. Additionally, the current framework is tested primarily on simulation and benchmark datasets; validation across diverse grid configurations is recommended to ensure generalizability (Veerasamy et al., 2021; Rohan, 2022).

6. Conclusion

This study presents a novel framework for classifying power system disturbances using wavelet scattering transform-derived feature matrices. Key contributions include:

1. **Framework Development:** Construction of a multi-scale, translation-invariant feature matrix capturing both local and global signal characteristics.
2. **Classifier Integration:** Successful application of machine learning classifiers to the scattering-based features, achieving high accuracy and robustness under noisy conditions.
3. **Performance Validation:** Demonstrated superiority over traditional DWT and Fourier-based approaches, confirming theoretical expectations of WST's invariance and discriminative capabilities.

The findings emphasize WST's potential as a cornerstone for intelligent, automated disturbance classification in modern power systems. Future research may explore:

- Dimensionality reduction and real-time computational optimization.
- Integration with wide-area monitoring systems and predictive maintenance platforms.
- Application to hybrid AC/DC grids and renewable-heavy distribution networks.

In conclusion, WST-derived feature matrices represent a promising paradigm for enhancing the reliability, stability, and intelligence of power system monitoring and control.

References

1. A. Sami Al-Itbi, A. Bahaaulddin A. Alwahhab, and A. M. Sahan, "X-Ray Covid-19 detection based on scatter wavelet transform and dense deep neural network," *Computer Systems Science and Engineering*, vol. 41, no. 3, pp. 1255–1271, 2022, doi: 10.32604/csse.2022.021980.
2. H. A. Agboola and J. E. Zaccheus, "Wavelet image scattering based glaucoma detection," *BMC Biomedical Engineering*, vol. 5, no. 1, Mar. 2023, doi: 10.1186/s42490-023-00067-5.
3. J. Andén and S. Mallat, "Deep scattering spectrum," *IEEE Transactions on Signal Processing*, vol. 62, no. 16, pp. 4114–4128, Aug. 2014, doi: 10.1109/TSP.2014.2326991.
4. J. Andén and S. Mallat, "Multiscale scattering for audio classification," *International Society for Music Information Retrieval*, pp. 657–662, 2011.
5. M. AlBader and H. A. Toliyat, "Wavelet scattering transform based induction motor current signature analysis," in *2020 International Conference on Electrical Machines (ICEM)*, Aug. 2020, pp. 1452–1457, doi: 10.1109/ICEM49940.2020.9270810.
6. T. Bourgana, R. Brijder, T. Ooijevaar, and A. P. Ompusunggu, "Wavelet scattering network based bearing fault detection," *PHM Society European Conference*, vol. 6, no. 1, Jun. 2021, doi: 10.36001/phme.2021.v6i1.2875.
7. J. Bruna and S. Mallat, "Classification with scattering operators," in *CVPR 2011*, Jun. 2011, pp. 1561–1566, doi: 10.1109/CVPR.2011.5995635.
8. J. Bruna and S. Mallat, "Invariant scattering convolution networks," *IEEE Transactions on Pattern Analysis and Machine Intelligence*, vol. 35, no. 8, pp. 1872–1886, Aug. 2013, doi: 10.1109/TPAMI.2012.230.
9. N. W. Branco, M. S. M. Cavalca, S. F. Stefenon, and V. R. Q. Leithardt, "Wavelet LSTM for fault forecasting in electrical power grids," *Sensors*, vol. 22, no. 21, Oct. 2022, doi: 10.3390/s22218323.
10. E. de Aguiar, A. Lazzaretti, B. Mulinari, and D. Pipa, "Scattering transform for classification in non-intrusive load monitoring," *Energies*, vol. 14, no. 20, Oct. 2021, doi: 10.3390/en14206796.
11. O. Cortes-Robles, E. Barocio, E. Beltran, and R. D. Rodríguez-Soto, "Events classification in power systems with distributed generation sources using an LSTM-based method with multi-input tensor approach," *Electricity*, vol. 4, no. 4, pp. 410–426, Dec. 2023, doi: 10.3390/electricity4040022.
12. W. Ghezaiel, L. Brun, and O. Lezoray, "Wavelet scattering transform and CNN for closed set speaker identification," in *2020 IEEE 22nd International Workshop on Multimedia Signal Processing (MMSP)*, Sep. 2020, pp. 1–6, doi: 10.1109/MMSP48831.2020.9287061.
13. K. Gu, Y. Zhang, X. Liu, H. Li, and M. Ren, "DWT-LSTM-based fault diagnosis of rolling bearings with multi-sensors," *Electronics*, vol. 10, no. 17, Aug. 2021, doi: 10.3390/electronics10172076.
14. M. Hirn, S. Mallat, and N. Poilvert, "Wavelet scattering regression of quantum chemical energies," *Multiscale Modeling & Simulation*, vol. 15, no. 2, pp. 827–863, Jan. 2017, doi: 10.1137/16M1075454.
15. Y. Jin and Y. Duan, "Wavelet scattering network-based machine learning for ground penetrating radar imaging: application in pipeline identification," *Remote Sensing*, vol. 12, no. 21, Nov. 2020, doi: 10.3390/rs12213655.
16. W.-H. Lai, S.-T. Tsai, D.-L. Cheng, and Y.-R. Liang, "Application of wavelet scattering and machine learning on structural health diagnosis for quadcopter," *Applied Sciences*, vol. 11, no. 21, Nov. 2021, doi: 10.3390/app112110297.
17. F. Liu et al., "Wearable electrocardiogram quality assessment using wavelet scattering and LSTM," *Frontiers in Physiology*, vol. 13, Jun. 2022, doi: 10.3389/fphys.2022.905447.
18. V. Lostanlen, G. Lafay, J. Andén, and M. Lagrange, "Relevance-based quantization of scattering features for unsupervised mining of environmental audio," *EURASIP Journal on Audio, Speech, and Music Processing*, vol. 2018, no. 1, Dec. 2018, doi: 10.1186/s13636-018-0138-4.

19. L. Sifre, J. Anden, M. Kapoko, E. Oyallon, and V. Lostanlen, "ScatNet: a MATLAB toolbox for scattering networks," DIENS, 2013.
20. P. K. Shukla and K. Deepa, "Deep learning techniques for transmission line fault classification – a comparative study," *Ain Shams Engineering Journal*, vol. 15, no. 2, Feb. 2024, doi: 10.1016/j.asej.2023.102427.
21. A. Sherstinsky, "Fundamentals of recurrent neural network (RNN) and long short-term memory (LSTM) network," *Physica D: Nonlinear Phenomena*, vol. 404, Mar. 2020, doi: 10.1016/j.physd.2019.132306.
22. B. Soro and C. Lee, "A wavelet scattering feature extraction approach for deep neural network based indoor fingerprinting localization," *Sensors*, vol. 19, no. 8, Apr. 2019, doi: 10.3390/s19081790.
23. S. Karan and H.-G. Yeh, "Fault classification in microgrids using deep learning," in *2020 IEEE Green Energy and Smart Systems Conference (IGESSC)*, Nov. 2020, pp. 1–7, doi: 10.1109/IGESSC50231.2020.9285101.
24. S. Mallat, "Group invariant scattering," *Communications on Pure and Applied Mathematics*, vol. 65, no. 10, pp. 1331–1398, Oct. 2012, doi: 10.1002/cpa.21413.
25. N. Qu, Z. Li, J. Zuo, and J. Chen, "Fault detection on insulated overhead conductors based on DWT-LSTM and partial discharge," *IEEE Access*, vol. 8, pp. 87060–87070, 2020, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2020.2992790.
26. A. Rohan, "Deep scattering spectrum germaneness to fault detection and diagnosis for component-level prognostics and health management (PHM)," *Sensors*, vol. 22, no. 23, Nov. 2022, doi: 10.3390/s22239064.
27. V. Veerasamy et al., "LSTM recurrent neural network classifier for high impedance fault detection in solar PV integrated power system," *IEEE Access*, vol. 9, pp. 32672–32687, 2021, doi: 10.1109/ACCESS.2021.3060800.
28. A. A. Susu, H. A. Agboola, C. Solebo, F. E. A. Lesi, and D. S. Aribike, "Wavelet time scattering based classification of interictal and preictal EEG signals," *Brain Res*, vol. 3, no. 115, 2020.
29. J. Zarka, L. Thiry, T. Angles, and S. Mallat, "Deep network classification by scattering and homotopy dictionary learning," *arXiv preprint arXiv:1910.03561*, 2019.
30. "Wavelet scattering," MathWorks, 2024.